



# A Conflict Sensitivity Analysis, Mapping and Risk Assessment

Kenya Electoral Conflicts Mitigation and Civic/Voter Education Support (ECCES) Program

## **Act Change Transform**

## Kenya Electoral Conflicts Mitigation and Civic/Voter Education Support (ECCES) Program

This Study was made possible by the support of the American People through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The contents of this study/report are the sole responsibility of Act Change Transform (Act!) and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Act! acknowledges the contribution Ms. Leah Kimathi who conducted the Study.

Act! April, 2023



# **Table of Contents**

Table	of Con	tents			2		
List of	Table	s and Figures			4		
Abbre	viation	s and Acronyms			5		
Execu	tive Su	mmary			7		
Chapter One: Background Context			Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
1.0	Inti	oduction to Elections	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
1.1	Ele	ctions in Kenya	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
1.2	Rat	ionale of the study	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
1.3	Res	earch methodology	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
1.	.3.1	Sampling	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
1.	.3.2	Data collection methods	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
1.	.3.3	Data analysis	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
1.	.3.4	Research ethics	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
1.4	Str	ıcture of the report	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
1.5	Ope	erationalization of key terms in the report	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
Chapt	er Two	: Kenya's 2022 Elections: Research findings	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
2.0	Inti	oduction	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
2.1 defi	Elec ned.	ctoral conflict during and after the 2022 general election	ıs	Error! Book	mark not		
2.2	Fac	tors contributing to peaceful 2022 elections	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
2.4	Pea	ce and security risks following the 2022 elections	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
2.5 Boo	2.5 Effectiveness of electoral conflict mitigation institutions in the 2022 electionsError! Bookmark not defined.						
2.	.5.1	National Police Service (NPS)	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
	.5.2 Indefined.	lependent Electoral and Boundaries Commission (IEBC		Error! Book	mark not		
2.	.5.3	The Judiciary	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
2.5.4 defined.		Conflict Early Warning Early Response Systems (EWI	E <b>R</b> )	Error! Book	mark not		
2.	.5.5	Civil society organizations	Error!	Bookmark not	t defined.		
2.6 defi	Appned.	proaches used in electoral conflict mitigation in the 2022	election	nsError! Book	mark not		
2.	6.1	Civic and voter education campaigns	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		
2.	6.2	Peace messaging	Error!	Bookmark not	defined.		

2.6.3 Ear	rly Warning Early Response Systems	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	edia monitoring and training of journalists and other	<u>=</u>
2.6.5 Tranot defined.	aining and induction of security personnel on elector	ral securityError! Bookmark
2.6.6 Ens	suring inclusion and diversity in elections	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.7 Challen defined.	nges in electoral conflict mitigation in the 2022 election	ons Error! Bookmark not
2.8 Compar Bookmark not	ring 2017 with 2022 elections; what lessons in elector defined.	al conflict mitigation?Error!
2.9 Status o	of ECCES custom indicators	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.9.1 Par defined.	rticipation in electoral processes after the 2022 electi	ons Error! Bookmark not
2.9.2 Eq Bookmark n	uality of males and females to social, economic and pot defined.	political opportunitiesError!
2.9.3 Use	e of violence in solving election related disputes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.10 Lessons	s learned in electoral conflict mitigation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	al opportunities for mainstreaming peacebuilding an planned year 2 interventions.	
Chapter 3: Concl	lusion and recommendations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Bibliography		Error! Bookmark not defined.

# **List of Tables and Figures**

Table 1:	Sample size distribution per County	.13
Table 2:	Target sub- counties and wards for data collection	.14
Table 3:	Total Number of research respondents	.15
Figure 1:	Participants by Gender	.15
Figure 2:	Experiencing/witnessing election related violence	.18
Figure 3:	Type of electoral violence	.19
Figure 4:	How elections were peaceful	.20
Figure 5:	Factors contributing to peaceful elections	.21
Figure 6:	Effect of elections on communal relations	.22
Figure 7:	Risks to peace and security following the 2022 elections	23
Figure 8:	Risks to peace and security by gender	.24
Figure 9:	Sources of the risks	.25
Figure 10:	Risks to peace and security by county	.26
Figure 11:	Sources of risks by gender	.26
Figure 12:	Actions to address peace and security concerns	27
Figure 13:	Actions to address peace and security concerns by county	.28
Figure 14:	Actions to address peace and security by gender	.29
Figure 15:	Performance satisfaction levels of electoral institutions	.29
Figure 16:	Typical components of an EWER system	.34
Figure 17:	Challenges in electoral conflict mitigation	.39
Table 4:	Key differences in the 2017 and 2022 elections	.44
Figure 18:	Participation in democratic processes post 2022 elections	.46
_	les and females should have equal access to social, economic and political resourties	
Figure 20:	Violence should not be used to solve election disputes	.47
Table 5: Main	streaming peacebuilding and conflict resolution interventions in Y2 work	.50

### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

Act! Act Change Transform

CBO Community Based Organisation

COVAW Coalition of Violence against Women

COVID-19 Corona Virus Disease 2019

CSO Civil Society Organization

ECCESS Kenya Electoral Conflicts Mitigation and Civic/Voter Education Support

Programme

ELOG Elections Observation Group

EMB Electoral Management Body

ESAP Election Security Arrangement Programme

EWER Early Warning Early Response

FGD Focus Group Discussion

FIDA Federation of Women Lawyers

HAK Healthcare Assistance Kenya

ICC International Criminal Court

ICT Information and Communications Technology

IEBC Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission

IPOA Independent Policing Oversight Authority

KARA Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations

KASH Keeping Alive Societies Hope

KEAP Kenya Electoral Assistance Program

KECOSCE Kenya Community Support Centre

KEG Kenya Editors' Guild

KIEMS Kenya Integrated Electoral Management System

KII Key Informant Interview

KNCHR Kenya National Commission on Human Rights

MCA Member of County Assembly

MCK Media Council of Kenya

MOA Media Owners Association

NASA National Super Alliance

NCIC National Cohesion and Integration Commission

NPS National Police Service

NSC National Steering Committee on Peacebuilding and Conflict Management

ODM Orange Democratic Movement

ORPP Office of the Registrar of Political Parties

PEV Post-Election Violence

PWD Person with Disability

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Sciences

TJRC Truth Justice and Reconciliation Commission

### **Executive Summary**

Kenya's 2022 general election was hailed as one of the most violence free in recent times, coming immediately after the violent 2017 elections. For the first time in a long time, political mobilization was seen largely as issue based with the now opposition Azimio coalition championing good governance and anti-corruption as the basis of the country's renewal while the Kenya Kwanza coalition ran on an economic ticket that focused largely on the bottom poor.

While the electioneering period was shorter compared to 2017 and the new government sworn in barely a month after voting, the dust on the elections is yet to settle. The study revealed that the lack of electoral violence was not due to conflict transformation, rather due to a convergence of factors that created a ceasefire moment and negative peace. Some of these included the conflict management work of electoral institutions and civil society, voter apathy and lack of immediate mobilization to mass action by the losing Azimio coalition (unlike in the 2017 elections). The dire state of the economy that has led to a high cost of living and attendant unemployment also paradoxically led to lack of election violence as the youths who were traditionally mobilized to violence prioritized economic survival to elections and politics. As a result of the negative peace, the ongoing agitations by the Azimio coalition on the state of the economy and electoral reforms, the continuing economic crisis, complicated by the drought and an unfavorable international economic environment are combining to heighten risks to peace and security in the post-election environment.

However, and in spite of the current risks, the lack of violence during and after the 2022 elections offers a strategic opportunity for peace actors to engage in efforts towards sustaining and broadening peace in order to among other goals, secure the 2027 elections.

In recognition of this strategic opportunity to sustain peace, Act! commissioned the current study; A Conflict Sensitivity Analysis, Mapping and Risk Assessment with the following objectives;

- i. Analyze the post-election environment and identify threats to peaceful co-existence from an electoral conflict perspective (as contra-distinguished from other conflicts).
- ii. Develop a comparison analysis on electoral/political dynamics in 2022 and 2017 with a focus to but not limited to what contributed to the favourable result in 2022, roles played various conflict management players, challenges, and the lessons learnt.
- iii. Understand the effectiveness of the electoral conflict mitigation and peace building interventions and approaches employed in 2022 by Act! and other actors and document the lessons learned and which should be replicated.
- iv. Identify potential synergies and opportunities for mainstreaming peace building and conflict resolution approaches for planned year 2 (two) interventions.
- v. Determine the status of ECCES programme custom indicators in the Monitoring Evaluation and Learning plan.

#### Key Findings

- a) Electoral conflict during and after the 2022 general elections;
- 86% of the respondents reported not witnessing or experiencing violence during and after elections.
- 82% of the respondents felt that elections were "peaceful".

- 51% of the respondents attributed the lack of electoral violence to citizen's preoccupation with other pressing issues besides elections. Among these were the high cost of living and lack of access to basic needs.
- b) Peace and security risks following the 2022 elections;
  - 38% of respondents were of the opinion that the 2022 elections had generated peace and security risks in the post-election period.
  - The greatest source of those risks was the continued denial of the election results by the Azimio coalition (55%) followed by lack of delivery of campaign promises (22%).
  - To address these risks, 34% of respondents called for more peace and cohesion interventions while 27% called for delivery on campaign promises.
- c) Effectiveness of electoral conflict mitigation institutions in the 2022 elections.
- 86% of the respondents reported satisfaction with the interventions of the police towards electoral conflict mitigation. 71% reported the performance of CSOs as being satisfactory.
- The judiciary recorded the lowest satisfaction levels at 48%, followed by media (50%) and IEBC at 54%.
- d) Some lessons learned in electoral conflict mitigation following the 2017 and 2022 elections
- Elections are a cycle, not an event. Every step of the way is important towards achievement of the overall goal of a credible and peaceful election.
- To achieve a sustainably peaceful electoral environment, peacebuilding must address the root causes of insecurity and structural violence. Piecemeal electoral peacebuilding may achieve a ceasefire effect at election time but soon after, old grievances resurface to jeopardize the post-election peace environment.
- Peacebuilding must be broad and multi-faceted to accommodate non-traditional peacebuilders who nonetheless can influence or get influenced by the state of peace and security, more so in high risk settings that require emergency interventions like elections.
- Digital technologies including social media have the potential to close the information gap during elections that leads to tensions and possible conflicts. However, the space must be regulated to mitigate against fake news and the spread of mis/dis and mal information which are a new site of electoral conflict.
- Activation of EWER systems early enough in the electoral cycle, at the very latest, six months to elections allows for effective rolling out of the system, including its familiarization and trust building among the stakeholders, increasing its effectiveness.

#### Recommendations to Act! and partners

- Together with other partners, especially from religious, business and professional sectors, support efforts towards establishing/supporting dialogue between the leadership of both Kenya Kwanza and Azimio coalitions in order to diffuse the rising political tensions;
- As part of sustaining positive peace, work together with NCIC and other parties, in the justice and human rights sectors in revisiting the TJRC report to sustainably address structural violence in Kenya.
- Support the IEBC in peacebuilding and conflict resolution to ensure that the 2024 boundaries delimitation and delineation process will be peaceful and conflict sensitive.
- At local level, support accountability dialogues between the elected and electorate to ensure effective citizen participation in governance as part of broader peacebuilding and holding

- elected leadership accountable. This also ensures that elections are meaningful by delivering on the needs of the electorate as a strategy to reduce voter apathy.
- Collaborate with institutions working towards making the digital space secure and safer for Kenyans, in recognition of the online harms and risks to peace and security experienced in the 2022 elections.
- Support sustainable civic education interventions including standardization of curriculum and lobbying government for its inclusion in secondary school curriculum.

The full version of this study is available on request.